

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 2nd Quarter '03 versus 2nd Quarter '04

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 62 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,821) and "total decrease" in ADP (-676). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,821 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 676 ADP. Subtracting 676 from 2,821 produces the overall increase of 2,145 between the second quarter of 2003 and the second quarter of 2004.
2. The Orange County ADP increase of 707 is 25.1% of the total increase of 2,821. Thirty-eight jurisdictions had increases (down to Sierra Sheriff's Department) with 3 jurisdictions reporting no increase (Calaveras Sheriff's Department, Napa and Madera Corrections Departments.). When you get to Madera Corrections Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,821).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Trinity Sheriff's Department to Los Angeles Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 676 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Orange Sheriff's Department to Imperial Sheriff's Department) is 53.03%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for about 53% of the total ADP increase. Two jurisdictions (San Francisco Sheriff's Department and Los Angeles Sheriff's Department) account for about 62% of the decreases.